

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,166	03/26/2004	Binh T. Nguyen	IGT1P104/P-897	8341
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 08/10/200 VER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 70250			KIM, ANDREW	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3714	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Anntication No.	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/810,166	NGUYEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Kim	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, mar vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to becom-	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> .						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
occurre attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A 44	24/21						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/9/04 9/7/06 1/23/07.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Other:							

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13, 17-22, 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quest et al (EP 0738991).

Claims 1, 22, 33, 38. A gaming machine adapted for accepting wagers and granting monetary awards, comprising:

a master gaming controller adapted to control game play and authorize payouts and other awards on said gaming machine (col. 1:54-58, col. 1:1-6);

one or more major components, wherein at least one of said one or more major components contains a plurality of peripheral devices and a first universal communication interface, is adapted to be removable from said gaming machine, and is interchangeable with other similar major components also so adapted (col. 2:29-43); and

a universal gaming engine, said universal gaming engine having at least one dedicated processing unit, at least one associated storage device and a second universal communication interface, wherein said universal gaming engine is adapted to control a

Application/Control Number: 10/810,166

Art Unit: 3714

substantial portion of said plurality of peripheral devices on said removable and interchangeable major component (col. 3:12-31, col. 6:5-31).

Claim 2. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component is adapted to detach from both said gaming machine and said universal gaming engine (col. 5:41-47).

Claim 3. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine is adapted to remain attached to said gaming machine despite any detachment of said removable and interchangeable major component (col. 6:5-31).

Claim 4. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component and said universal gaming engine are adapted to detach from said gaming machine as a combined unit (col. 6:33-37).

Claim 5. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component is adapted to detach from said universal gaming engine (col. 6:33-37).

Claims 6, 34. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component comprises a top box (col. 6:5-32).

Claims 7, 35. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine comprises a

Application/Control Number: 10/810,166

Art Unit: 3714

universal top box engine, said universal top box engine adapted to control a substantial portion of said plurality of peripheral devices on said top box (col. 6:5-32).

Claims 8, 36. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component comprises a main cabinet (col. 4:18-25).

Claim 9. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine comprises a universal main cabinet engine, said universal main cabinet engine adapted to control a substantial portion of said plurality of peripheral devices on said main cabinet (col. 4:18-25, col. 6:5-32).

Claim 10. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine is adapted to control a substantial portion of a plurality of peripheral devices on a main cabinet and a substantial portion of a plurality of peripheral devices on a top box (col. 4:18-25, col. 6:5-32).

Claim 11. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine contains a power supply adapted to provide power to said removable and interchangeable major component (col. 3:36).

Claim 12. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine comprises a communications buffer between said master gaming controller and a substantial portion

of said plurality of peripheral devices on said removable and interchangeable major component (col. 6:15).

Claim 13. Quest discloses wherein said dedicated processing unit comprises a central processing unit residing on said universal gaming engine (col. 6:6).

Claim 17. Quest discloses wherein said plurality of peripheral devices comprises one or more devices selected from the group consisting of a video display unit, a speaker, an audio amplifier, a light, a touch screen, an input button, a coin acceptor, a bill acceptor, a ticket acceptor, a coin hopper, a coin dispenser, a ticket printer, a card reader and a mechanical motor (fig. 1).

Claim 18. Quest discloses wherein said first universal communication interface mates with said second universal communication interface (col. 6:12-32).

Claim 19. Quest discloses wherein said second universal communication interface comprises a standardized layout of physical connections that is consistent across a plurality of other universal gaming engines (col. 6:12-32).

Claim 20. Quest discloses wherein said standardized layout of physical connections comprises a grid of ported connections (col. 6:12-32).

Claim 21. Quest discloses wherein said standardized layout of physical connections comprises a power connection adapted to provide power across a mating of universal communication interfaces (col. 6:12-32).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quest et al. (EP 0738991 A2).

Claims 14-16. Quest substantially discloses the invention as claimed but fails to explicitly teach an operating system code such as Windows or Linux that is approved for use in a gaming machine. Instead, Quest discloses a program card that contains

program instructions for the game. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that this program card would contain instructions that operates on the operating system of the module and that the instruction code would be approved by a gaming commission and further that the operating system would be commonly used such as windows or linux because the aforementioned operating systems are common enough that finding programmers in those platforms are easier and cheaper than finding them in some obscure operating system and having the code approved by a gaming commission allows the program to be used on the casino floor which generates income. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to modify Quest with program code approved by a gaming jurisdiction and written for windows or linux platform to generate income and save money on production.

Claims 23-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quest et al. (EP 0738991 A2) in view of Rowe (US 20020138594).

Claims 23, 24. Quest substantially discloses the invention as claimed such as claim 1 but fails to explicitly teach at least one computer server in communication with the gaming machines. Instead, Quest discloses a gaming machine with replaceable panels and game cards. In an analogous gaming reference, Rowe discloses a server in communication with a plurality of gaming machines (Rowe, paragraphs 35-39). One of ordinary skill in the art would have seen the benefit of modifying Quest with a server in communication with a plurality of gaming machines for providing relationships between the game versions, game transaction information, site information and gaming terminal

information and establish configurations for one or more gaming terminals. This allows the server to update and change the software on one or more gaming machines which enables fast, easy and cost-efficient method for changing the applications in the gaming machines. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to modify Quest with a server in communication with a plurality of gaming terminals for easy, fast and cheap changing of programs on gaming terminals.

Claim 25. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component is adapted to detach from said first gaming machine, said universal gaming engine, or both (col. 5:41-47).

Claim 26. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component comprises a top box (col. 6:5-32).

Claim 27. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine comprises a universal top box engine, said universal top box engine adapted to control a substantial portion of said plurality of peripheral devices on said top box (col. 6:5-32).

Claim 28. Quest discloses wherein said removable and interchangeable major component comprises a main cabinet (col. 4:18-25).

Claim 29. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine comprises a universal main cabinet engine, said universal main cabinet engine adapted to control a substantial portion of said plurality of peripheral devices on said main cabinet (col. 4:18-25, col. 6:5-32).

Claim 30. Quest discloses wherein said universal gaming engine is adapted to control a substantial portion of a plurality of peripheral devices on a main cabinet and a substantial portion of a plurality of peripheral devices on a top box of said first gaming machine (col. 4:18-25, col. 6:5-32).

Claim 31. Quest as modified by Rowe substantially discloses the invention as claimed but fails to explicitly teach an operating system code such as Windows or Linux that is approved for use in a gaming machine. Instead, Quest discloses a program card that contains program instructions for the game. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that this program card would contain instructions that operates on the operating system of the module and that the instruction code would be approved by a gaming commission and further that the operating system would be commonly used such as windows or linux because the aforementioned operating systems are common enough that finding programmers in those platforms are easier and cheaper than finding them in some obscure operating system and having the code approved by a gaming commission allows the program to be used on the casino floor which generates income. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

instant invention to modify Quest with program code approved by a gaming jurisdiction and written for windows or linux platform to generate income and save money on production.

Claim 32. Quest discloses wherein said first universal communication interface mates with said second universal communication interface, and wherein said second universal communication interface comprises a standardized layout of physical connections that is consistent across a plurality of other universal gaming engines (col. 6:15).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. O'Connor et al (US 6,148,510).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,166 Page 11

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AK 8/6/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC370